Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Existence of equilibrium for generalized games in choice form and applications

Monica Patriche

University of Bucharest e-mail: monica.patriche@yahoo.com

The date of receipt and acceptance will be inserted by the editor

arXiv:1605.00117v3 [math.OC] 16 May 2016

Abstract. This paper has two central aims: first, to provide simple conditions under which the generalized games in choice form and, consequently, the abstract economies, admit equilibrium; second, to study the solvability of several types of systems of vector quasi-equilibrium problems as an application. Our work outlines that there still is much to be gained from using the results concerning the existence of equilibrium of games as tools of research for other optimization problems.

Keywords: equilibrium in choice, game in choice form, selection theorem, vector equilibrium problemMSC 2010: 90C33, 91A06, 91B50, 91B52

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The importance of vector equilibrium problems comes, obviously, from the great number of recent papers dedicated to the study of the existence of their solutions. We can provide a short list of references presented in our bibliography: [1-5], [7], [9], [10], [14-18], [21-25], [29]. From the scientific point of view, we must state the fact that the vector equilibrium problems unifies several problems, among which we can mention: vector variational inequalities, vector complementarity problems and vector optimizations problems.

The purpose of this paper is to provide new conditions under which the systems of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems have solutions. Following the approach of Lin, Chen and Ansari [18], we firstly prove

Correspondence to:
University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, 14 Academiei Street, 010014 Bucharest, Romania

new results based on the existence of equilibrium for generalized abstract economies, results which extend and improve a number of theorems existing in literature.

We recall that the notion of abstract economy was introduced by Shafer and Sonnenschein in [28], in order to generalize Nash's model of noncooperative game in normal form, defined in [21] and [22]. Shafer and Sonnenschein's model consists of a finite set of agents. Each agent i has a constraint correspondence A_i and, instead of the utility function u_i, considered by Nash, he has a preference correspondence P_i. Later, several generalizations have been made. Among them, there are the generalized abstract economies, which have two constraint correspondences. In a recent paper ([11]), Hervés-Beloso and Patriche provided relevant examples of abstract economies with two constraint correspondences. The model of Kim and Tan [14] also contains an additional "fuzzy" constraint.

In order to establish the existence of equilibrium for a generalized abstract economy, we apply a new technique, which is completely different from the classical techniques used in literature, namely the ones proposed by Shafer and Sonnenschein in [28] or by Yannelis and Prahbakar in [32]. The method of the last authors consists of the construction, for each players, of a certain correspondence which has different values, depending on the set of points for which the intersection of the values of correspondences P_i and A_i are empty or not. The product of all these correspondences are forced to fulfill the conditions of a known fixed point theorem. Based on this idea, all following results had similar assumptions, especially which concerned nonempty convex closed (or open) values of the correspondences P_i and A_i. Our approach consists of the construction of an auxiliary generalized game in choice form.

The model of a generalized game in choice form was introduced by Ferrara and Stefanescu in [9]. Ferrara and Stefanescu's paper rediscussed the model of game in choice form, which was firstly defined by these authors in [29] and [30].

A generalized game in choice form is the family of the individual strategies sets, the constraint correspondences and a choice profile. A choice profile can be expressed as a collection of subsets from the set including all strategies of the game. The difference, from the classical models of Nash ([21],[22]) and Debreu ([6]), consists in the fact that Ferrara and Stefanescu's new model also takes into account the particular cases when the players' preferences need not be explicitly represented, or when the choice of a player need not be the best reply to the strategy combination of the others.

The rest of this section recalls some definitions and preliminary results which will be used in the following sections.

Let X, Y be topological spaces and $T : X \to 2^Y$ be a correspondence. The correspondence $\overline{T}$ is defined by $\overline{T}(x) := \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in \mathrm{cl}_{X\times Y}\ \mathrm{Gr}\ T\}$ (the set $\mathrm{cl}_{X\times Y}\ \mathrm{Gr}\ (T)$ is called the adherence of the graph of T). It is easy to see that $\mathrm{cl}\ T(x) \subset \overline{T}(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

For each $x \in X$, the set $T(x)$ is called the *upper section* of T at x. For each $y \in Y$, the set $T^{-1}(y) := \{x \in X : y \in T(x)\}$ is called the *lower section* of T at y. T is said to have *open lower sections* if $T^{-1}(y)$ is open in X for each $y \in Y$. The following lemma will be crucial in the proofs.

Lemma 1 *(Yannelis and Prabhakar, [32]). Let X be a paracompact Hausdorff topological space and Y be a Hausdorff topological vector space. Let $T : X \to 2^Y$ be a correspondence with nonempty convex values and for each $y \in Y$, $T^{-1}(y)$ is open in X. Then, T has a continuous selection that is, there exists a continuous function $f : X \to Y$ such that $f(x) \in T(x)$ for each $x \in X$.*

T is said to be *upper semicontinuous,* if for each $x \in X$ and each open set V in Y with $T(x) \subset V$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x in X such that $T(x) \subset V$ for each $y \in U$. T is said to be *lower semicontinuous,* if for each x$\in X$ and each open set V in Y with $T(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x in X such that $T(y) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in U$. T is said to have *open lower sections* if $T^{-1}(y)$ is open in X for each $y \in Y$.

We recall the following definitions concerning the generalized convexity of the correspondences. The reader is referred to [18].

Let X be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space E_i, Y be a topological vector space and let $C : X \to 2^Y$ be a correspondence such that for each $x \in X$, $C(x)$ is a proper, closed and convex cone with int$C(x) \neq \emptyset$.

A correspondence $T : X \to 2^Y$ is said to be *concave* if for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $\lambda T(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)T(x_2) \subseteq T(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2)$.

Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary and let $T : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be a correspondence.

a) T is said to be $C(x)-$*quasi-convex* if for any $y_1, y_2 \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have either

$T(x, y_1) \subseteq T(x, \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2) + C(x)$ or $T(x, y_2) \subseteq T(x, \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2) + C(x)$;

a) T is said to be $C(x)-$*quasi-convex-like* if for any $y_1, y_2 \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have either

$T(x, \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2) \subseteq T(x, y_1) - C(x)$ or $T(x, \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2) \subseteq T(x, y_2) - C(x)$;

c) T is said to be natural $C(x)-$*quasi-concave* if for any $y_1, y_2 \in X$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $z_1 \in T(x, y_1)$, $z_2 \in T(x, y_2)$, there exists $z \in T(x, \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2)$ such that $z \in$co$\{z_1, z_2\} - C(x)$;

d) T is said to be $C(x)-$*convex on* X if for any $y_1, y_2 \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $T(x, \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2) \subseteq \lambda T(x, y_1) + (1 - \lambda)T(x, y_2) - C(x)$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains existence results for the equilibrium of generalized games in choice form and of generalized abstract economies. The existence of solutions for systems of vector quasi-equilibrium problems is studied in Section 3. The conclusions are added in Section 4. All proofs are collected in an Appendix.

2 Equilibrium results

In this section, we will consider the family $(X_i)_{i\in N}$ of individual strategies and the families $(A_i)_{i\in N}$ and $(F_i)_{i\in N}$ of constraint correspondences, where $A_i : X_{-i} \to 2^{X_i}$ and $F_i : X_{-i} \to 2^{X_i}$ for each $i \in N$. Let $X = \prod_{i\in I} X_i$.

We denote $x_{-i} = (x_1, ..., x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, ..., x_n)$, $X_{-i} = \prod_{i\neq j} X_i$ and $(x_{-i}, X_i) = \{(x_{-i}, x_i) : x_i \in X_i\}$.

We introduce the following definitions, which generalize the ones due to Ferrara and Stefanescu ([9]). These authors mentioned, in order to motivate the presentation of their new model, that the notion of equilibrium in choice does not require the players' preferences to be explicitly represented, or the choice of a player to be the best reply to the strategy combination of the others. As in the model due to Kim and Tan [14], the correspondences $(F_i)_{i\in N}$ represent fuzzy constraints.

The existence of two constraint correspondences is perfectly justified by experience. For instance, in a recent paper ([11]), Hervés-Beloso and Patriche provided relevant examples of abstract economies with two constraint correspondences. The model of Kim and Tan [14] also contains an additional "fuzzy" constraint.

Definition 1 *We define a choice profile under restrictions as any collection $\mathcal{C} := (\mathcal{C}_i)_{i\in N}$ of nonempty subsets of $X \times X$ such that $\mathcal{C}_i \subset GrA_i \times GrF_i$ for each $i \in N$.*

Definition 2 *A generalized game in choice form is a family $((X_i)_{i\in N}, (A_i)_{i\in N}, (F_i)_{i\in N}, (\mathcal{C}_i)_{i\in N})$, where $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_i)_{i\in N}$ is a choice profile under restrictions.*

Definition 3 *An equilibrium in choice of a generalized game in choice form $((X_i)_{i\in N}, (A_i)_{i\in N}, (F_i)_{i\in N}, (\mathcal{C}_i)_{i\in N})$ is any game strategy (x^*, y^*) with the property*

$$\forall i \in N, ((x^*_{-i}, A_i(x^*_{-i})), (y^*_{-i}, F_i(y^*_{-i})) \cap \mathcal{C}_i \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow (x^*, y^*) \in \mathcal{C}_i.$$

If $(x^*, y^*) \in \cap_{i\in N}\mathcal{C}_i$, then (x^*, y^*) is said to be a *strong equilibrium in choice.*

We denote $\mathcal{C}(x_{-i}, y_{-i})$ the upper section through (x_{-i}, y_{-i}) of a set $\mathcal{C} \subset X \times X$, i.e., $\mathcal{C}(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) = \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : ((x_{-i}, x_i), (y_{-i}, y_i)) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ and $\mathcal{C}(x_i, y_i)$ the lower section through (x_i, y_i) of the set $\mathcal{C}$, i.e., $\mathcal{C}(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : ((x_{-i}, x_i), (y_{-i}, y_i)) \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

We will make the following assumption:
(A) for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$, there exists $i \in N$ such that $\mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$.

Finally, we note that we determine the existence of strong equilibrium in choice for all the situations considered in this section, if we suppose, in addition, that $\mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x_{-i} \in X_{-i}$.

Further, we study the existence of equilibrium for a generalized game in choice form. All proofs will be collected in an Appendix, at the end of the

paper. We underline that our approach differs very much from the one due to Ferrara and Stefanescu in [9] or to Stefanescu, Ferrara and Stefanescu in [29].

This is our first result. The assumptions refer mainly to the topological properties of the upper and lower sections of the sets $\mathcal{C}_i$, $i \in N$.

Theorem 1 *Let $(X_i, A_i, F_i, \mathcal{C}_i)_{i\in N}$ be a generalized game in choice form. Assume that, for each $i \in N$, the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) X_i is a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space E_i;
b) $W_i = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : A_i(x_{-i}) \times F_i(y_{-i}) \cap \mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \neq \emptyset\}$ *is nonempty and closed;*
c) $\mathcal{C}_i(x_i, y_i)$ is open for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$;
d) $\mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i})$ is convex or empty for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$.
Then, the game admits equilibria in choice.

As a consequence, we obtain the following result concerning the existence of the strong equilibrium in choice.

Theorem 2 *Let $(X_i, A_i, F_i, \mathcal{C}_i)_{i\in N}$ be a generalized game in choice form. Assume that, for each $i \in N$, the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) X_i is a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space E_i;
b) $(A_i(x_{-i}) \times F_i(y_{-i})) \cap \mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i})$ is nonempty for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$.
c) $\mathcal{C}_i(x_i, y_i)$ is open for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$;
d) $\mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i})$ is convex for each x_{-i} and $y_{-i} \in X_{-i}$.
Then, the generalized game admits strong equilibria in choice.

Further, we recall that the model of a generalized abstract economy, which was introduced by Kim and Tan in [14], is more general then the one due to Shafer and Sonnenschein [28]. In Kim and Tan's model, each agent i has, in addition, a fuzzy constraint correspondence F_i.

In order to prove the existence of equilibrium for the generalized abstract economies, we attach an auxiliary generalized game in choice form and we use the above theorems. The strong equilibrium in choice for the generalized games in choice form will be equilibrium pairs for generalized abstract economies.

Let $(X_i)_{i\in N}$ be the family of the individual sets of strategies and let $X = \prod_{i\in I} X_i$.

We will work with the following variant of a *generalized abstract economy:*

$\Gamma = (X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i\in N}$ is defined as a family of quadruplets (X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i), where for each $i \in N$, $P_i : X \times X \to 2^{X_i}$ is a preference correspondence and $A_i, F_i : X_{-i} \to 2^{X_i}$ are constraint correspondences.

An *equilibrium* for Γ is a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ which satisfies for each $i \in N:\ x_i^* \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F}_i(y_{-i}^*)$ and $A_i(x_{-i}^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3 introduces new conditions which ensure the existence of equilibria for abstract economies. Its proof is based on Theorem 2.

Theorem 3 *Let $(X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i\in N}$ be a generalized abstract economy. Assume that, for each $i \in N$, the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) X_i is a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space E_i;

b) A_i, F_i have nonempty, convex values and open lower sections;

c) the set $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\} \cap (A_i(x_{-i}) \times F_i(y_{-i}))$ is nonempty for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$;

d) $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is convex for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$;

e) $\{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is open for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$.

Then, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that $x_i^* \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in F_i(y_{-i}^*)$ and $A_i(x_{-i}^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in N$.

We obtain the following result as a direct consequence of the above theorem. It will be used in the next section in order to prove the existence of solutions for systems of vector quasi-equilibrium problems.

Theorem 4 *Let $(X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i\in N}$ be a generalized abstract economy. Assume that, for each $i \in N$, the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) X_i is a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space E_i;

b) A_i, F_i have nonempty, convex values and open lower sections;

c) the set $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\} \cap (A_i(x_{-i}) \times F_i(y_{-i}))$ is nonempty for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$;

d) $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is convex for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$;

e) $\{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is open for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$.

Then, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that $x_i^* \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in F_i(y_{-i}^*)$ and $A_i(x_{-i}^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in N$.

We note that, according to the above theorem, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that $x_i^* \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in F_i(y_{-i}^*)$ and $\overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in N$. Obviously, $\overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ implies $A_i(x_{-i}^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 5 states the existence of equilibrium for a generalized abstract economy, in which the constraint correspondences are lower semicontinuous and the preference correspondences have open graphs. No continuity assumptions are made over the fuzzy constraint correspondences. No assumptions are made over the values of the preference correspondences. The

values of the constraint correspondences needn't fulfill topological conditions. The proof is based on the construction, for each player, of a new type of correspondence, which satisfies the Kakutani fixed point Theorem.

Theorem 5 *Let $(X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i\in N}$ be an abstract economy. Assume that, for each $i \in N$, the following conditions are fulfilled:*

a) X_i is a nonempty, convex and compact set in a Hausdorff locally convex space E_i;

b) A_i is lower semicontinuous;

c) $\overline{A_i}$ and $\overline{F_i}$ are nonempty and convex valued;

d) P_i has an open graph;

e) $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is convex and nonempty for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$.

Then, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ which satisfies for each $i \in N$: $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(y_{-i}^*)$ and $A_i(x_{-i}^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$.

Remark 1 Assumption d) of the above theorem implies that if $(x, y) \in X \times X$, $x_i \notin P_i(x, y)$.

3 Systems of vector quasi-equilibrium problems

We start this section with the presentation of the problem we approach.

For each $i \in N$, let X_i be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space E_i, Y_i a topological vector space and let $X = \prod_{i\in N} X_i$. For each $i \in N$, let A_i, $F_i : X_{-i} \to 2^{X_i}$, $C_i : X_{-i} \to 2^{Y_i}$ and $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ be correspondences with nonempty values. We consider the following systems of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems (in short, SGVQEP):

SGVQEP (I) Find $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(y_{-i}^*)$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \subseteq C_i(x_{-i}^*)$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$.

SGVQEP (II) Find $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(y_{-i}^*)$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \cap C_i(x_{-i}^*) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$.

SGVQEP (III) Find $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(y_{-i}^*)$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \cap (-\text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^*)) = \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$.

SGVQEP (IV) Find $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(y_{-i}^*)$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \not\subseteq \text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^*)$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$.

Further, we will work in the following setting:

For each $i \in N$, let X_i be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E_i, Y_i a topological vector space and let $X = \prod_{i\in N} X_i$. For each $i \in N$, let A_i, $F_i : X_{-i} \to 2^{X_i}$, $C_i : X_{-i} \to 2^{Y_i}$ and $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ be correspondences with nonempty values. Suppose that for each $x_{-i} \in X_{-i}$, $C_i(x_{-i})$ is a proper, closed and convex cone with $\text{int}C_i(x_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$.

We obtain the following results which improve the theorems from [18]. All proofs are included in Appendix. We mention that the proofs are different from the ones given in [18].

Now, we present two different theorems, which concern the existence of solutions for SGVQEP (I). Both of them are established under hypotheses which are weaker than those from [18].

We note that, in Theorem 6, the upper semicontinuity of each f_i was restricted to the upper semicontinuity of each $f_i(\cdot, x_i, \cdot, y_i, \cdot) : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$. The convexity of the values of the correspondences f_i is not assumed.

Theorem 6 *For each $i \in N$, let $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ be a correspondence with nonempty and closed values. For each $i \in N$, suppose that:*

a) A_i, F_i have nonempty, convex values and open lower sections;
b) $f_i(\cdot, x_i, \cdot, y_i, \cdot) : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ is upper semicontinuous for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$;
c) the correspondence $W_i : X_{-i} \to 2^{Y_i}$, defined by $W_i(x_{-i}) = Y_i \backslash (-intC_i(x_{-i}))$ for each $x_{-i} \in X_{-i}$, is upper semicontinuous;
d) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$, there exists $(x_i, y_i) \in A_i(x_{-i}) \times F_i(y_{-i})$ such that $f_i(x, y, \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})) \subseteq \text{int}C_i(x_{-i})$;
e) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}, u_i) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i$, $f_i(x_{-i}, \cdot, y_{-i}, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ is $(-\text{int}C_i(x_{-i}))-$ quasi-convex-like.
Then, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in F_i(y_{-i}^*)$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \subseteq C_i(x_{-i}^*)$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (I).

We will show that the existence of solutions for SGVQEP (I) can be derived from Theorem 5, following the method used by Lin, Chen and Ansari in [18]. Theorem 7 and Theorem 3.2.1 from [18] are comparable. After the proof, we will present a comparison between our result and the quoted one.

Theorem 7 *For each $i \in N$, let $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ be a lower semicontinuous correspondence with nonempty values. For each $i \in N$, suppose that:*

a) A_i is lower semicontinuous;
b) $\overline{A_i}$ and $\overline{F_i}$ are nonempty and convex valued;
c) C_i is an upper semicontinuous correspondence;
d) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$, $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \subseteq C_i(x_{-i})$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i})\}$ is nonempty;
e) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}, u_i) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i$, $f_i(x_{-i}, \cdot, y_{-i}, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ is $(-C_i(x_{-i}))-$ quasi-convex-like.
Then, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F}_i(y_{-i}^*)$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \subseteq C_i(x_{-i}^*)$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (I).

Remark 2 Assumption d) implies that for each $x, y \in X$, $f_i(x, y, x_i) \subseteq C_i(x_{-i})$.

Remark 3 We note that no continuity assumptions was made over the correspondences F_i, $i \in N$. The functions $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ are not quasi-concave in the last argument.

SGVQEP (II) has solutions if we suppose that the following assumptions hold.

Theorem 8 *For each $i \in N$, let $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ be a correspondence with nonempty values. For each $i \in N$, suppose that:*

a) A_i, F_i have nonempty, convex values and open lower sections;
b) $f_i(\cdot, x_i, \cdot, y_i, \cdot) : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ is lower semicontinuous for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$;
c) the correspondence $W_i : X_{-i} \to 2^{Y_i}$, defined by $W_i(x_{-i}) = Y_i \backslash (-intC_i(x_{-i}))$ for each $x_{-i} \in X_{-i}$, is upper semicontinuous;
d) there exists $(x_i, y_i) \in A_i(x_{-i}) \times F_i(y_{-i})$ such that $f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap intC_i(x_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})$ and for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$;
e) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}, u_i) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i$, $f_i(x_{-i}, \cdot, y_{-i}, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ is natural $intC_i(x_{-i})-$ quasi-concave.
Then, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in F_i(y_{-i}^*)$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \cap C_i(x_{-i}^*) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (II).

The following theorem gives sufficient conditions for the solvability of SGVQEP (II), given upper semicontinuous correspondences $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$, $i \in N$.

Theorem 9 *For each $i \in N$, let $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ be an upper semicontinuous correspondence with nonempty values. For each $i \in N$, suppose that:*

a) A_i is lower semicontinuous;
b) $\overline{A_i}$ and $\overline{F_i}$ are nonempty and convex valued;
c) C_i is an upper semicontinuous correspondence;
d) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$, $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap C_i(x_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i})\}$ is nonempty;
e) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}, u_i) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i$, $f_i(x_{-i}, \cdot, y_{-i}, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ is natural $C_i(x_{-i})-$ quasi-concave.
Then, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F}_i(y_{-i}^*)$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \cap C_i(x_{-i}^*) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (II).

The existence of the solutions for SGVQEP (III) is stated below.

Theorem 10 *For each $i \in N$, let $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ be a correspondence with nonempty, closed values. For each $i \in N$, suppose that:*

a) A_i, F_i *have nonempty, convex values and open lower sections;*

b) $f_i(\cdot, x_i, \cdot, y_i, \cdot) : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ *is upper semicontinuous for each* $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$;

c) C_i *is an upper semicontinuous correspondence;*

d) there exists $(x_i, y_i) \in A_i(x_{-i}) \times F_i(y_{-i})$ *such that* $f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap (-C_i(x_{-i})) = \emptyset$ *for each* $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})$ *and for each* $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$;

e) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}, u_i) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i$, $f_i(x_{-i}, \cdot, y_{-i}, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ *is* $(-C_i(x_{-i}))-$ *quasi-convex-like.*

Then, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ *such that for each* $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in F_i(y_{-i}^*)$ *and* $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \cap (-\text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^*)) = \emptyset$ *for each* $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, *that is,* (x^*, y^*) *is a solution for SGVQEP (III).*

The question, whether Theorem 5 implies that SGVQEP (III) has solutions, arises naturally. Assumptions on $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ refers to lower semicontinuity.

Theorem 11 *For each* $i \in N$, *let* $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ *be a lower semicontinuous correspondence with nonempty values. For each* $i \in N$, *suppose that:*

a) A_i *is lower semicontinuous;*

b) $\overline{A_i}$ *and* $\overline{F_i}$ *are nonempty and convex valued;*

c) the correspondence $W_i : X_{-i} \to 2^{Y_i}$, *defined by* $W_i(x_{-i}) = Y_i \backslash (-intC_i(x_{-i}))$ *for each* $x_{-i} \in X_{-i}$, *is upper semicontinuous;*

d) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$, $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap (-intC_i(x_{-i})) = \emptyset$ *for each* $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i})\}$ *is nonempty;*

e) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}, u_i) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i$, $f_i(x_{-i}, \cdot, y_{-i}, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ *is* $(-intC_i(x_{-i}))-$ *quasi-convex-like.*

Then, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ *such that for each* $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F}_i(y_{-i}^*)$ *and* $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \cap (-\text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^*)) = \emptyset$ *for each* $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, *that is,* (x^*, y^*) *is a solution for SGVQEP (III).*

Remark 4 The above theorem can be compared with Theorem 3.2.3 from [18].

The existence of solutions for SGVQEP (IV*)* is studied at the end of the paper.

Theorem 12 *For each* $i \in N$, *let* $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ *be a correspondence with nonempty values. For each* $i \in N$, *suppose that:*

a) A_i, F_i *have convex values and open lower sections;*

b) $f_i(\cdot, x_i, \cdot, y_i, \cdot) : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ *is lower semicontinuous for each* $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$;

c) C_i *is an upper semicontinuous correspondence;*

e) there exists $(x_i, y_i) \in A_i(x_{-i}) \times F_i(y_{-i})$ *such that* $f_i(x, y, u_i) \notin C_i(x_{-i})$ *for each* $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})$ *and for each* $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$;

f) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}, u_i) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i$, $f_i(x_{-i}, \cdot, y_{-i}, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ *is* $C_i(x_{-i})-$ *quasi-convex.*

Then, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ *such that for each* $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in F_i(y_{-i}^*)$ *and* $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \not\subseteq \text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^*)$ *for each* $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, *that is,* (x^*, y^*) *is a solution for SGVQEP (IV).*

In [18], Theorem 3.2.4 concerning the existence of solutions of SGVQEP (IV) has been established. We prove that this statement remains valid without assuming the lower semicontinuity of the correspondences F_i, $i \in N$ and the quasi-convexity of f_i in the last argument. Instead, we assume that for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}, u_i) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i$, $f_i(x_{-i}, \cdot, y_{-i}, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ is $\text{int}C_i(x_{-i})-$ quasi-convex. The proof is also based on Theorem 5.

Theorem 13 *For each* $i \in N$, *let* $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ *be an upper semicontinuous correspondence with nonempty values. For each* $i \in N$, *suppose that:*

a) A_i *is lower semicontinuous;*

b) $\overline{A_i}$ *and* $\overline{F_i}$ *are nonempty and convex valued;*

c) the correspondence $W_i : X_{-i} \to 2^{Y_i}$, *defined by* $W_i(x_{-i}) = Y_i \backslash intC_i(x_{-i})$ *for each* $x_{-i} \in X_{-i}$, *is upper semicontinuous;*

d) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$, $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \not\subseteq intC_i(x_{-i})$ *for each* $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i})\}$ *is nonempty*;

e) for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}, u_i) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i$, $f_i(x_{-i}, \cdot, y_{-i}, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ *is* $intC_i(x_{-i})-$ *quasi-convex.*

Then, there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ *such that for each* $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F}_i(y_{-i}^*)$ *and* $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \not\subseteq \text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^*) = \emptyset$ *for each* $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, *that is,* (x^*, y^*) *is a solution for SGVQEP (IV).*

4 Concluding remarks

The first objective of this study has been to investigate the existence of equilibrium for generalized games in choice form and to derive new, simpler results on the existence of equilibrium for generalized abstract economies. Then, our work has focused on applications concerning the solvability of several types of systems of vector quasi-equilibrium problems. Our approach has led to new and simple hypotheses which ensure the existence of solutions for the classes of equilibrium problems we had considered.

5 Appendix

Proof of Theorem 1. For each $i \in N$, let us define the correspondence $T_i : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \to 2^{X_i \times X_i}$, by

$$T_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) = \begin{cases} \text{co}(\bigcup_{\{(z_{-i}, t_{-i}) : \mathcal{C}_i(z_{-i}, t_{-i}) \neq \emptyset\}} \mathcal{C}_i(z_{-i}, t_{-i})) \text{ if } (x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \notin W_i; \\ \mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \text{ if } (x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in W_i. \end{cases}$$

The correspondence T_i has nonempty and convex values.

If $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$, then, $T_i^{-1}(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : (x_i, y_i) \in T_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i})\} =^C W_i \cup \mathcal{C}(x_i, y_i)$ is an open set.

We apply the Yannelis and Prabhakar's Lemma and we obtain that T_i has a continuous selection $f_i : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \to X_i \times X_i$.

Let $f : X \times X \to X \times X$ be defined by $f(x, y) = \prod_{i \in N} f_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i})$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$. The function f is continuous, and, according to the Tychonoff fixed point Theorem [12], there exists $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that $f(x^*, y^*) = (x^*, y^*)$. Hence, $(x^*, y^*) \in \prod_{i \in N} T_i(x^*_{-i}, y^*_{-i})$ and obviously, $(x_i^*, y_i^*) \in T_i(x^*_{-i}, y^*_{-i})$ for each $i \in N$. Suppose that $((x^*_{-i}, A_i(x^*_{-i})), (y^*_{-i}, F_i(y^*_{-i})) \cap \mathcal{C}_i \neq \emptyset$, for some $i \in N$. Then, $\mathcal{C}_i(x^*_{-i}, y^*_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$ and $(x_i^*, y_i^*) \in \mathcal{C}_i(x^*_{-i}, y^*_{-i})$, which implies $(x^*, y^*) \in \mathcal{C}_i$.

Proof of Theorem 3. For each $i \in N$, let us define the set $\mathcal{C}_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\} \cap (\mathrm{Gr}A_i \times \mathrm{Gr}F_i)$.

Then, $\mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) = \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\} \cap (A_i(x_{-i}) \times F_i(y_{-i}))$ for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$ and

$\mathcal{C}_i(x_i, y_i) = (A_i^{-1}(x_i) \times F_i^{-1}(y_i)) \cap \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$.

Assumption c) implies that $\mathcal{C}_i$ is nonempty. The set $\mathcal{C}_i(x_i, y_i)$ is open for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$ since Assumptions b) and e) hold.

According to Assumptions b) and d), $(A_i(x_{-i}) \times F_i(y_{-i})) \cap \mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i})$ is nonempty and convex for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$.

All hypotheses of Theorem 2 are fulfilled, and then, there exists (x^*, y^*) equilibrium in choice for the generalized game $(X_i, A_i, F_i, \mathcal{C}_i)_{i \in N}$. Obviously, (x^*, y^*) is equilibrium for the abstract economy $(X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i \in N}$.

Proof of Theorem 5. For each $i \in N$, let us define the set $\mathcal{C}_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\} \cap (\mathrm{Gr}\overline{A_i} \times \mathrm{Gr}\overline{F_i})$.

We claim that $\mathcal{C}_i$ is a closed set. Indeed, let us consider a sequence $(x^n, y^n)_n$ in $\mathcal{C}_i$ and let us assume that $\lim_{n \to \infty}(x^n, y^n) = (x^0, y^0)$. We will prove that $(x^0, y^0) \in \mathcal{C}_i$.

Since $\mathrm{Gr}\overline{A_i}$ and $\mathrm{Gr}\overline{F_i}$ are closed, it follows that $(x^0, y^0) \in \mathrm{Gr}\overline{A_i} \times \mathrm{Gr}\overline{F_i}$. It remain to prove that $(x^0, y^0) \in \{(x, y) \in X \times X : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$.

Suppose, by contrary, that the last assertion is false. Then, there exists $z_i^0 \in X_i$ such that $z_i^0 \in A_i(x^0_{-i}) \cap P_i(x^0, y^0)$. The lower semicontinuity of A_i implies the existence of the sequence $(z_i^n)_n$ in X_i such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} z_i^n = z_i^0$ and $z_i^n \in A_i(x^n_{-i})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have also $z_i^0 \in P_i(x^0, y^0)$, that is, $(x^0, y^0, z_i^0) \in \mathrm{Gr}P_i$. Using the fact that $\mathrm{Gr}P_i$ is an open set, we can find open neighbourhoods $V_{(x^0, y^0)}$ and $V_{z_i^0}$ for (x^0, y^0), respectively z_i^0 such that $V_{(x^0, y^0)} \times V_{z_i^0} \subseteq \mathrm{Gr}P_i$. It follows that $(x^n, y^n, z_i^n) \in \mathrm{Gr}P_i$ for all but finitely many values of n. Thus, $z_i^n \in P_i(x^n, y^n)$ for all but finitely many values of n. This contradicts the fact that the sequence $(x^n, y^n)_n$ is in $\mathcal{C}_i$. Consequently, $(x^0, y^0) \in \{(x, y) \in X \times X : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ and the set $\mathcal{C}_i$ is closed.

Let us define the correspondence $T_i : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \to 2^{X_i \times X_i}$ by

$T_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) = \mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i})$ if $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$.

We notice that $\mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) = \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\} \cap (\overline{A_i}(x_{-i}) \times \overline{F_i}(y_{-i}))$ for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$.

Assumptions c) and e) imply that the correspondence T_i has nonempty and convex values. T_i is also closed valued.

$\mathrm{Gr}T_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (x_i, y_i) \in \mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i})\} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (x_i, y_i) \in \overline{A_i}(x_{-i}) \times \overline{F_i}(y_{-i}), A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\ \} = \mathcal{C}_i$.

Since $\mathrm{Gr}T_i$ is closed and $X_i \times X_i$ is compact, by Theorem 7.1.16 from Klein and Thompson [15], the correspondence T_i is upper semicontinuous.

Let $T : X \times X \to 2^{X \times X}$ be defined by $T(x, y) = \prod_{i \in N} T_i(x_{-i}, y_{-i})$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$. The correspondence T is upper semicontinuous, and, according to the Ky Fan fixed point Theorem [7], there exists $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that $(x^*, y^*) \in T(x^*, y^*)$. Obviously, $(x_i^*, y_i^*) \in T_i(x_{-i}^*, y_{-i}^*)$ for each $i \in N$. Then, for each $i \in N$, $\mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}^*, y_{-i}^*) \neq \emptyset$ and $(x_i^*, y_i^*) \in \mathcal{C}_i(x_{-i}^*, y_{-i}^*)$, which implies $(x^*, y^*) \in \mathcal{C}_i$. Therefore, for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(y_{-i}^*)$ and $A_i(x_{-i}^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$.

Proof of Theorem 6. For each $i \in N$, let us define $P_i : X \times X \to 2^{X_i}$, by $P_i(x, y) = \{u_i \in X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \not\subseteq \mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i})\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Assumption d) implies that $\{(x_i, y_i) \in A_i(x_{-i}) \times F_i(y_{-i}) : \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is nonempty for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$.

Let us consider $(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$. Now, we shall prove that $D_i(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$ is convex, where

$D_i(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) = \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0) \cap P_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i, y_{-i}^0, y_i) = \emptyset\} =$

$= \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i, y_{-i}^0, y_i, u_i) \subseteq \mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)\}$.

Let $(x_i^1, y_i^1), (x_i^2, y_i^2) \in D_i(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$. This means that:

$f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^1, y_{-i}^0, y_i^1, u_i) \subseteq \mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$ and

$f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^2, y_{-i}^0, y_i^2, u_i) \subseteq \mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$.

Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $(x_i(\lambda), y_i(\lambda)) = \lambda(x_i^1, y_i^1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_i^2, y_i^2)$. We claim that $(x_i(\lambda), y_i(\lambda)) \in D_i(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$.

Since $f_i(x_{-i}^0, \cdot, y_{-i}^0, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ is $(-\mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0))-$ quasi-convex-like for each $u_i \in X_i$, we have that $f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i(\lambda), y_{-i}^0, y_i(\lambda), u_i) \subseteq f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^1, y_{-i}^0, y_i^1, u_i) + \mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ or $f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i(\lambda), y_{-i}^0, y_i(\lambda), u_i) \subseteq f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^2, y_{-i}^0, y_i^2, u_i) + \mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0)$. On the other hand, it is true that $f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^1, y_{-i}^0, y_i^1, u_i) \subseteq \mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$ and $f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^2, y_{-i}^0, y_i^2, u_i) \subseteq \mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$. We obtain that $f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i(\lambda), y_{-i}^0, y_i(\lambda), u_i) \subseteq \mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$, that is, $(x_i(\lambda), y_i(\lambda)) \in D_i(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$. Therefore, $D_i(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$ is convex.

Let $E_i(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : f_i(x, y, u_i) \subseteq \mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i})$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})\}$ be defined for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$.

We claim that, for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$, ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ is closed, where ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i) =$

$= \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : \exists u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})$ such that $f_i(x, y, u_i) \not\subseteq \mathrm{int}C_i(x_{-i})\}$.

Indeed, let (x_i, y_i) be fixed and let $(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) \in \mathrm{cl}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$. Then, there exists $(x_{-i}^n, y_{-i}^n)_n$ a sequence in ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty}(x_{-i}^n, y_{-i}^n) =$

(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}). Then, for each $n \in N$, $\exists u^n_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^n_{-i})$ such that $f_i(x^n_{-i}, x_i, y^n_{-i}, y^n_i, u^n_i) \not\subseteq \text{int}C_i(x^n_{-i})$, that is, $f_i(x^n_{-i}, x_i, y^n_{-i}, y_i, u^n_i) \cap W_i(x^n_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$, where $W_i(x^n_{-i}) = Y_i \backslash (-\text{int}C_i(x^n_{-i}))$. The set X_i is compact and therefore, we can assume, without generality, that the sequence $(u^n_i)_n$ is convergent and let $\lim_{n\to\infty} u^n_i = u^0_i \in X_{-i}$. The closedness of $\overline{A}_i$ implies that $u^0_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^0_{-i})$.

We assert that there exists a sequence $(z^n_i)_n$ in X_i such that $z^n_i \in f_i(x^n_{-i}, x_i, y^n_{-i}, y_i, u^n_i) \cap W_i(x^n_{-i})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $z^n_i \in W_i(x^n_{-i})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since X_i is compact, we can suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} z^n_i = z^0_i$.The closedness of W_i implies that $z^0_i \in W_i(x^0_{-i})$. We invoke here the closedness of $f_i(\cdot, x_i, \cdot, y_i, \cdot) : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ and we conclude that $z^0_i \in f_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i, y^0_{-i}, y_i, u^0_i)$. Therefore, $f_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i, y^0_{-i}, y_i, u^0_i) \cap W_i(x^0_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$, and, thus, $(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) \in {}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$, ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ is closed and then, $E_i(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is an open set.

All assumptions of Theorem 4 are fulfilled and there exists a pair $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ such that $x^*_i \in A_i(x^*_{-i})$, $y^*_i \in F_i(y^*_{-i})$ and $A_i(x^*_{-i}) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in N$. Then, for each $i \in N$, $x^*_i \in A_i(x^*_{-i})$, $y^*_i \in F_i(y^*_{-i})$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \subseteq C_i(x^*_{-i})$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x^*_{-i})$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (I).

Proof of Theorem 7. For each $i \in N$, let us define $P_i : X \times X \to 2^{X_i}$, by

$P_i(x, y) = \{u_i \in X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \not\subseteq C_i(x_{-i})\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Assumption d) implies that $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is nonempty for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$.

Let us consider $(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$ and $D_i(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) = \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x^0_{-i}) \cap P_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i, y^0_{-i}, y_i) = \emptyset\}$.

We can prove that $D_i(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$ is convex, as in the proof of Theorem 6.

Following the same line as in the proof of Theorem 3.2.1. from [18], we can show that P_i has an open graph.

All assumptions of Theorem 5 are verified. According to this result, there exists (x^*, y^*) such that for each $i \in N$, $x^*_i \in \overline{A_i}(x^*_{-i})$, $y^*_i \in \overline{F_i}(y^*_{-i})$ and $A_i(x^*_{-i}) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$. Then, for each $i \in N$, $x^*_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^*_{-i})$, $y^*_i \in \overline{F}_i(y^*_{-i})$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \subseteq C_i(x^*_{-i})$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x^*_{-i})$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (I).

Proof of Theorem 8. For each $i \in N$, let us define $P_i : X \times X \to 2^{X_i}$, by

$P_i(x, y) = \{u_i \in X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap \text{int}C_i(x_{-i})) = \emptyset\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Let $E_i(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap \text{int}C_i(x_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})\}$ be defined for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$. We claim that, for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$, ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ is closed, where ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : \exists u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})$ such that $f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap \text{int}C_i(x_{-i}) = \emptyset\}$. Indeed, let (x_i, y_i) be fixed and let $(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) \in \text{cl}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$. Then, there exists $(x^n_{-i}, y^n_{-i})_n$ a sequence in ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x^n_{-i}, y^n_{-i}) = (x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$.Then, for each $n \in N$, $\exists u^n_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^n_{-i})$ such that $f_i(x^n_{-i}, x_i, y^n_{-i}, y^n_i, u^n_i) \cap \text{int}C_i(x^n_{-i}) = \emptyset$. The set X_i is compact and therefore, we can assume, without generality,

that the sequence $(u_i^n)_n$ is convergent and let $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_i^n = u_i^0 \in X_{-i}$. The closedness of $\overline{A}_i$ implies that $u_i^0 \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$.

Let $z_i^0 \in f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i, y_{-i}^0, y_i, u_i^0)$. We invoke here the lower semicontinuity of $f_i(\cdot, x_i, \cdot, y_i, \cdot) : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ and we assert that there exists a sequence $(z_i^n)_n$ in X_i such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_i^n = z_i^0$ and $z_i^n \in f_i(x_{-i}^n, x_i, y_{-i}^n, y_i, u_i^n) \subseteq W_i(x_{-i}^n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $z_i^n \in W_i(x_{-i}^n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The closedness of W_i implies that $z_i^0 \in W_i(x_{-i}^0)$. Consequently, $f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i, y_{-i}^0, y_i, u_i^0) \subseteq W_i(x_{-i}^0)$, and, thus, $(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) \in^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$, ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ is closed and then, $E_i(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is an open set.

Let us consider $(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$. Now, we shall prove that $D(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$ is convex, where

$D(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) = \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0) \cap P_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i, y_{-i}^0, y_i) = \emptyset\} =$
$= \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i, y_{-i}^0, y_i, u_i) \cap \text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)\}$.
Let $(x_i^1, y_i^1), (x_i^2, y_i^2) \in D(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$. This means $f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^1, y_{-i}^0, y_i^1, u_i) \cap \text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$ and $f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^2, y_{-i}^0, y_i^2, u_i) \cap \text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$.

For each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$, let $z_i^j(u_i) \in f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^j, y_{-i}^0, y_i^j, u_i) \cap \text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ for each $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Since $\text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ is convex, it is true that $\text{co}\{z_i^1(u_i), z_i^2(u_i)\} \subseteq \text{int}C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$. Let $(x_i(\lambda), y_i(\lambda)) = \lambda(x_i^1, y_i^1) + (1-\lambda)(x_i^2, y_i^2)$ be defined for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Since for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}, u_i) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i$, $f_i(x_{-i}, \cdot, y_{-i}, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ is natural $\text{int}C_i(x_{-i})-$ quasi-concave, it follows that $(x_i(\lambda), y_i(\lambda)) \in D(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$ for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

All assumptions of Theorem 4 are verified and there exists (x^*, y^*) such that for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in F_i(y_{-i}^*)$ and $A_i(x_{-i}^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$. Then, for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F}_i(x_{-i}^*)$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \cap C_i(x_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (II).

Proof of Theorem 9. For each $i \in N$, let us define $P_i : X \times X \to 2^{X_i}$, by $P_i(x, y) = \{u_i \in X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap C_i(x_{-i}) = \emptyset\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Assumption d) implies that $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is nonempty for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$.

Let us consider $(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$. We can prove that $D_i(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$ is convex, by using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 8, where $D_i(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) = \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x_{-i}^0) \cap P_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i, y_{-i}^0, y_i) = \emptyset\}$.

Now, we shall prove that P_i has an open graph. In order to do this, let us consider $(x^0, y^0, u_i^0) \in \text{cl}^C[\text{Gr}P_i]$. We claim that $(x^0, y^0, u_i^0) \in^C [\text{Gr}P_i]$ and, thus, ${}^C[\text{Gr}P_i]$ is closed, which implies that $\text{Gr}P_i$ is open. Since $(x^0, y^0, u_i^0) \in \text{cl}^C[\text{Gr}P_i]$, there exists a sequence $(x^n, y^n, u_i^n)_n$ in $\text{cl}^C[\text{Gr}P_i]$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x^n, y^n, u_i^n) = (x^0, y^0, u_i^0)$. Therefore, $f_i(x^n, y^n, u_i^n) \cap C_i(x_{-i}^n) \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us consider $z_i^n \in f_i(x^n, y^n, u_i^n) \cap C_i(x_{-i}^n)$. We can assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_i^n = z_i^0$. We invoke here the upper semicontinuity of f_i and C_i and we conclude that $z_i^0 \in .f_i(x^0, y^0, u_i^0) \cap C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ and, thus, $(x^0, y^0, u_i^0) \in^C [\text{Gr}P_i]$.

All assumptions of Theorem 5 are verified. According to this result, there exists (x^*, y^*) such that for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F}_i(y_{-i}^*)$ and $A_i(x_{-i}^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$. Then, for each $i \in N$, $x_i^* \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^*)$, $y_i^* \in \overline{F}_i(y_{-i}^*)$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \cap C_i(x_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x_{-i}^*)$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (II).

Proof of Theorem 10. For each $i \in N$, let us define $P_i : X \times X \to 2^{X_i}$, by

$P_i(x, y) = \{u_i \in X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap (-C_i(x_{-i})) \neq \emptyset\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Let $E_i(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap (-C_i(x_{-i})) = \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})\}$ be defined for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$. We claim that, for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$, ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ is closed, where ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : \exists u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})$ such that $f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap (-C_i(x_{-i})) \neq \emptyset\}$.

Indeed, let (x_i, y_i) be fixed and let $(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) \in \mathrm{cl}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$. Then, there exists $(x_{-i}^n, y_{-i}^n)_n$ a sequence in ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x_{-i}^n, y_{-i}^n) = (x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$. Then, for each $n \in N$, $\exists u_i^n \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^n)$ such that $f_i(x_{-i}^n, x_i, y_{-i}^n, y_i^n, u_i^n) \cap (-C_i(x_{-i}^n)) \neq \emptyset$. The set X_i is compact and therefore, we can assume, without generality, that the sequence $(u_i^n)_n$ is convergent and let $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_i^n = u_i^0 \in X_{-i}$. The closedness of $\overline{A}_i$ implies that $u_i^0 \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$.

Let $z_i^n \in f_i(x_{-i}^n, x_i, y_{-i}^n, y_i, u_i^n) \cap (-C_i(x_{-i}^n))$. We invoke here the upper semicontinuity of $f_i(\cdot, x_i, \cdot, y_i, \cdot) : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ and we assert that $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_i^n = z_i^0$. It follows that $z_i^n \in -C_i(x_{-i}^n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The closedness of C_i implies that $z_i^0 \in -C_i(x_{-i}^0)$ and the the closedness of $f_i(x_{-i}, \cdot, y_{-i}, \cdot, \cdot)$ implies that $z_i^0 \in f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i, y_{-i}^0, y_i, u_i^0)$. Consequently, there exists $u_i^0 \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$ such that $f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i, y_{-i}^0, y_i, u_i^0) \cap (-C_i(x_{-i}^0)) \neq \emptyset$, and, thus, $(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) \in^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$, ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ is closed and then, $E_i(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is an open set.

Let us consider $(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$. Now, we shall prove that $D(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$ is convex,where

$D(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0) = \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0) \cap P_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i, y_{-i}^0, y_i) = \emptyset\} =$
$= \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i, y_{-i}^0, y_i, u_i) \cap (\text{-}C_i(x_{-i}^0)) \neq \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)\}$.

Let $(x_i^1, y_i^1), (x_i^2, y_i^2) \in D(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$. This means:

$f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^1, y_{-i}^0, y_i^1, u_i) \cap (\text{-}C_i(x_{-i}^0)) = \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$ and

$f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^2, y_{-i}^0, y_i^2, u_i) \cap (\text{-}C_i(x_{-i}^0)) = \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$.

Let $(x_i(\lambda), y_i(\lambda)) = \lambda(x_i^1, y_i^1) + (1-\lambda)(x_i^2, y_i^2)$ be defined for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. We claim that $(x_i(\lambda), y_i(\lambda)) \in D(x_{-i}^0, y_{-i}^0)$ for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Indeed, let fix $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Since $f_i(x_{-i}^0, \cdot, y_{-i}^0, \cdot, u_i) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ is $(-C_i(x_{-i}^0))-$ quasi-convex-like for each $u_i \in X_i$, we have that:

$f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i(\lambda), y_{-i}^0, y_i(\lambda), u_i) \subseteq f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^1, y_{-i}^0, y_i^1, u_i) - (-C_i(x_{-i}^0))$ or

$f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i(\lambda), y_{-i}^0, y_i(\lambda), u_i) \subseteq f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^2, y_{-i}^0, y_i^2, u_i) - (-C_i(x_{-i}^0))$.

On the other hand, it is true that $f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^1, y_{-i}^0, y_i^1, u_i) \cap (\text{-}C_i(x_{-i}^0)) = \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}^0)$ and $f_i(x_{-i}^0, x_i^2, y_{-i}^0, y_i^2, u_i) \cap (\text{-}C_i(x_{-i}^0)) = \emptyset$ for each

$u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^0_{-i})$. We obtain that $f_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i(\lambda), y^0_{-i}, y_i(\lambda), u_i) \cap (\text{-}C_i(x^0_{-i})) = \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^0_{-i})$, that is, $(x_i(\lambda), y_i(\lambda)) \in D(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$. Consequently, $D(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$ is convex.

All assumptions of Theorem 4 are fulfilled and there exists (x^*, y^*) an equilibrium for the associated generalized abstract economy $(X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i\in N}$. Then, for each $i \in N$, $x^*_i \in A_i(x^*_{-i})$, $y^*_i \in F_i(y^*_{-i})$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \cap (-\text{int}C_i(x^*_{-i})) = \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x^*_{-i})$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (III).

Proof of Theorem 11. For each $i \in N$, let us define $P_i : X \times X \to 2^{X_i}$, by

$P_i(x, y) = \{u_i \in X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \cap (-\text{int}C_i(x_{-i})) \neq \emptyset\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Assumption d) implies that $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is nonempty for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$.

Let us consider $(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$. Now, as in the proof of Theorem 10, we can show that $D_i(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$ is convex,where

$D_i(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) = \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x^0_{-i}) \cap P_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i, y^0_{-i}, y_i) = \emptyset\}$.

By following a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 7, we obtain that $\text{Gr}P_i$ is open.

All assumptions of Theorem 5 are verified. According to this result, there exists (x^*, y^*) an equilibrium for the associated generalized abstract economy $(X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i\in N}$. Then, for each $i \in N$, $x^*_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^*_{-i})$, $y^*_i \in \overline{F}_i(x^*_{-i})$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \cap (-\text{int}C_i(x^*_{-i})) = \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x^*_{-i})$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (III).

Proof of Theorem 12. For each $i \in N$, let us define $P_i : X \times X \to 2^{X_i}$, by

$P_i(x, y) = \{u_i \in X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \subseteq C_i(x_{-i})\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Let $E_i(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : f_i(x, y, u_i) \nsubseteq C_i(x_{-i})$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})\}$ be defined for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$. We claim that, for each $(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i$, ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ is closed, where ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : \exists u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x_{-i})$ such that $f_i(x, y, u_i) \subseteq C_i(x_{-i})\}$.

Indeed, let (x_i, y_i) be fixed and let $(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) \in \text{cl}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$. Then, there exists $(x^n_{-i}, y^n_{-i})_n$ a sequence in ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x^n_{-i}, y^n_{-i}) = (x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$.Then, for each $n \in N$, $\exists u^n_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^n_{-i})$ such that $f_i(x^n_{-i}, x_i, y^n_{-i}, y^n_i, u^n_i) \subseteq C_i(x^n_{-i})$. The set X_i is compact and therefore, we can assume, without generality, that the sequence $(u^n_i)_n$ is convergent and let $\lim_{n\to\infty} u^n_i = u^0_i \in X_{-i}$. The closedness of $\overline{A}_i$ implies that $u^0_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^0_{-i})$.

Let $z^0_i \in f_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i, y^0_{-i}, y_i, u^0_i)$. We invoke here the lower semicontinuity of $f_i(\cdot, x_i, \cdot, y_i, \cdot) : X_{-i} \times X_{-i} \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ and we assert that there exists a sequence $(z^n_i)_n$ in X_i such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} z^n_i = z^0_i$ and $z^n_i \in f_i(x^n_{-i}, x_i, y^n_{-i}, y_i, u^n_i) \subseteq C_i(x^n_{-i})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $z^n_i \in C_i(x^n_{-i})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The closedness of C_i implies that $z^0_i \in C_i(x^0_{-i})$. Consequently, $f_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i, y^0_{-i}, y_i, u^0_i) \subseteq C_i(x^0_{-i})$, and, thus, $(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) \in^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$, ${}^C E_i(x_i, y_i)$ is closed and then, $E_i(x_i, y_i) = \{(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i} : \overline{A}_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is an open set.

Let us consider $(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$. Now, we shall prove that $D(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$ is convex, where

$D(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) = \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : \overline{A}_i(x^0_{-i}) \cap P_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i, y^0_{-i}, y_i) = \emptyset\} =$
$= \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : f_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i, y^0_{-i}, y_i, u_i) \notin C_i(x^0_{-i})$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^0_{-i})$.

Let $(x^1_i, y^1_i), (x^2_i, y^2_i) \in D(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$.

This means $f_i(x^0_{-i}, x^1_i, y^0_{-i}, y^1_i, u_i) \notin C_i(x^0_{-i})$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^0_{-i})$ and $f_i(x^0_{-i}, x^2_i, y^0_{-i}, y^2_i, u_i) \notin C_i(x^0_{-i})$ for each $u_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^0_{-i})$.

Let $(x_i(\lambda), y_i(\lambda)) = \lambda(x^1_i, y^1_i) + (1-\lambda)(x^2_i, y^2_i)$ be defined for each $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

We claim that $(x_i(\lambda), y_i(\lambda)) \in D(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$ for each $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that there exist $\lambda_0 \in [0,1]$ and $u_i(\lambda_0)$ such that $f_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i(\lambda_0), y^0_{-i}, y_i(\lambda_0), u_i(\lambda_0)) \subseteq C_i(x^0_{-i})$. Since $f_i(x^0_{-i}, \cdot, y^0_{-i}, \cdot, u_i(\lambda_0)) : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{Y_i}$ is $C_i(x^0_{-i})-$ quasi-convex, we have that:

$f_i(x^0_{-i}, x^1_i, y^0_{-i}, y^1_i, u_i(\lambda_0)) \subseteq f_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i(\lambda), y^0_{-i}, y_i(\lambda), u_i(\lambda_0)) + C_i(x^0_{-i})$
or

$f_i(x^0_{-i}, x^2_i, y^0_{-i}, y^2_i, u_i(\lambda_0)) \subseteq f_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i(\lambda), y^0_{-i}, y_i(\lambda), u_i(\lambda_0)) + C_i(x^0_{-i})$.
On the other hand, it is true that $f_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i(\lambda_0), y^0_{-i}, y_i(\lambda_0), u_i(\lambda_0)) \subseteq C_i(x^0_{-i})$. We obtain that:

$f_i(x^0_{-i}, x^j_i, y^0_{-i}, y^j_i, u_i(\lambda_0)) \subseteq C_i(x^0_{-i}) + C_i(x^0_{-i}) \subseteq$
$\subseteq C_i(x^0_{-i})$ for $j = 1$ or for $j = 2$.

This contradicts the assumption that $(x^1_i, y^1_i), (x^2_i, y^2_i) \in D(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$. Consequently, $D(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$ is convex.

All assumptions of Theorem 4 are verified and there exists (x^*, y^*) an equilibrium for the associated generalized abstract economy $(X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i \in N}$. Then, for each $i \in N$, $x^*_i \in A_i(x^*_{-i})$, $y^*_i \in F_i(y^*_{-i})$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \notin \text{int}C_i(x^*_{-i})$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x^*_{-i})$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (IV).

Proof of Theorem 13. For each $i \in N$, let us define $P_i : X \times X \to 2^{X_i}$, by

$P_i(x, y) = \{u_i \in X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \subseteq \text{int}C_i(x_{-i})\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Assumption d) implies that $\{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x_{-i}) \cap P_i(x, y) = \emptyset\}$ is nonempty for each $(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$.

Let us consider $(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) \in X_{-i} \times X_{-i}$ and let $D_i(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i}) = \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : A_i(x^0_{-i}) \cap P_i(x^0_{-i}, x_i, y^0_{-i}, y_i)$. We can prove that $D_i(x^0_{-i}, y^0_{-i})$ is convex, as in the proof of Theorem 12.

By using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 9, we can prove that $\text{Gr}P_i$ is open.

All assumptions of Theorem 5 are verified. According to this result, there exists (x^*, y^*) an equilibrium for the associated generalized abstract economy $(X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i \in N}$. Then, for each $i \in N$, $x^*_i \in \overline{A}_i(x^*_{-i})$, $y^*_i \in \overline{F}_i(y^*_{-i})$ and $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \notin \text{int}C_i(x^*_{-i}) = \emptyset$ for each $u_i \in A_i(x^*_{-i})$, that is, (x^*, y^*) is a solution for SGVQEP (IV).

References

1. B. Alleche, V.D. Rădulescu, *Equilibrium problems techniques in the qualitative analysis of quasi-hemivariational inequalities,* Optimization **64** (2015), 1855-1868.
2. G. C. Bento, J. X. Cruz Neto, J. O. Lopes, P. A. Soares Jr, and A. Soubeyran, *Generalized Proximal Distances for Bilevel Equilibrium Problems,* SIAM J. Optim. **26** (2016), 810–830.
3. G. Bigi, A. Capătă and G. Kassay, Existence results for strong vector equilibrium problems and their applications, Optimization, 61, 5 (2012), 567-583.
4. A. Capătă, Optimality conditions for vector equilibrium problems and their applications, J.Ind. Manag. Optim., 9 (2013), 659–669.
5. A. Capătă, Optimality conditions for strong vector equilibrium problems under a weak constraint qualification, J. Ind.Manag. Optim., **11** (2015), 563–574.
6. G. Debreu, *A social equilibrium existence theorem,* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **38** (1952), 386–393.
7. Ky Fan, Fixed-point and Minimax Theorems in Locally Convex Topological Linear Spaces. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 38 (1952), 121–126.
8. A.P. Farajzadeh, S. Plubtieng, K. Ungchittrakool and D. Kumtaeng, *Generalized mixed equilibrium problems with generalized* ■-■*-monotone bifunction in topological vector spaces,* Applied Mathematics and Computation **265** (2015), 313–319.
9. M. Ferrara and A Stefanescu, *Equilibrium in choice for generalized games,* Mathematics in the 21st Century, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, Springer Basel **98** (2015), 19–30.
10. Y. Han and N.-J. Huang, The connectedness of the solutions set for generalized vector equilibrium problems, Optimization, 65 (2016), 357-367
11. C. Hervés-Beloso and M. Patriche, A Fixed-Point Theorem and Equilibria of Abstract Economies with Weakly Upper Semicontinuous Set-Valued Maps, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 163 (2014), 719–736
12. V. Istratescu, Fixed Point Theory, An Introduction, D.Reidel, Holland (1981).
13. G. Kassay, M. Miholca, *Vector Quasi-Equilibrium Problems for the Sum of Two Multivalued Mappings,* Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications **169** (2016), 424-442.
14. W.K. Kim, K.K. Tan, *New existence theorems of equilibria and applications,* Nonlinear Anal. **47** (2001) 531—542.
15. Klein and Thompson, *Theory of Correspondences.* Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs & Advanced Texts, 1984.
16. W. Kumam, U. Witthayarat, P. Kumam, S. Suantai and K. Wattanawitoon, Convergence theorem for equilibrium problem and Bregman strongly nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, Optimization, 65 (2016), 265-280
17. X.-B. Li and N.-J. Huang, *Generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems on Hadamard manifolds,* Optimization Letters **9** (2015), 155-170.
18. L.J. Lin, L.F. Chen and Q.H. Ansari, Generalized abstract economy and systems of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math* 208 (2007), 341-352.
19. Z. Liu and S. Zeng, Equilibrium problems with generalized monotone mapping and its applications, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 39 (2016), 152–163.

20. X.-J. Long, J.-W. Peng & S.-Y.Wu, Generalized vector variational-like inequalities and nonsmooth vector optimization problems, Optimization, 61 (9), (2012), 1075-1086.
21. J. F. Nash, *Equilibrium points in n-person games.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., vol 36, no 1, (1950), 48-49.
22. J. F. Nash, *Non-cooperative games.* Ann. Math. **54** (1951), 286-295.
23. Q. S. Qiu, Optimality conditions for vector equilibrium problems with constraints, J. Ind.Manag. Optim., 5 (2009), 783–790.
24. M. Patriche, *New results on systems of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems,* Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics **19,** (2015), 253-277.
25. M. Patriche, *Applications of the KKM property to coincidence theorems, equilibrium problems, minimax inequalities and variational relation problems,* submitted.
26. M. Patriche, *Generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems involving correspondences and relaxed continuity,* submitted
27. I. Sadeqi and M. Salehi Paydar, Lipschitz continuity of an approximate solution mapping for parametric set-valued vector equilibrium problems, Optimization, 65 (2016), 1003-1021
28. W. Shafer and H. Sonnenschein, *Equilibrium in abstract economies without ordered preferences,* Journal of Mathematical Economics **2** (1975), 345-348.
29. A. Stefanescu, M. Ferrara, Implementation of voting operators, J. Math. Econ. 42 (2006), 315-324.
30. A. Stefanescu, M. Ferrara, M.V. Stefanescu, Equilibria of the Games in Choice Form, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 155 (2012), 160-107.
31. S. Xia, S. Xiang, Y. Yang, D. Xu, *Lower semicontinuity of solutions for order-perturbed parametric vector equilibrium problems,* Mathematical Sciences, 2016, DOI: 10.1007/s40096-016-0176-y
32. N. C. Yannelis, N. D. Prabhakar, *Existence of maximal elements and equilibrium in linear topological spaces.* J. Math. Econom., **12** (1983), 233-245.